IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G. BIKSHAPATHY

and
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION No: 6944 of 2005

Between:

K.P.Raju, S/o. late S.R.Prasada Rao,
Lingareddypally, Medak District.
C-3/540, Chandra Nagar, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of A.P., rep. by its Secretary,
Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner for Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, Government of A.P.,
Urdu Hall Lane, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
3 The District Panchayat Officer, Medak at Sangareddy.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus to the set aside impugned proc.No.6243/CRP-B2/96, dt.09.01.2000 issued by the Commissioner for Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, A.P., Hyderabad and the Judgment of the Administrative Tribunal in O.A.No.970 of 2000, dt.23.09.2004 read with the order in Rev.M.A.No.3272 of 2004, in O.A.No.970 of 2000, dt.17-11-2004 and struck down the same and hold that the petitioner is entitled to continue as Executive Officer, Gram Panchayat with all consequential benefits and pass such

other and further orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.D.BALA KISHAN RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:- (PER GB,J.)

The Writ Petition is filed assailing the order, dated 23.09.2004, passed by the A.P. Administrative Tribunal in O.A.No.970 of 2000 read with the order, dated 17.11.2004, in Rev.M.A.No.3272 of 2004 in O.A.No.970 of 2000.

2. We are not inclined to refer to various factual aspects in this case. Suffice to say that the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order or dismissal, dated 09.01.2000, passed by the 2nd respondent is pending before the Government and the same has not been disposed of. In the mean while, the petitioner is continuing in service in view of the interim orders passed by the Tribunal.

3. Under those circumstances, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the Government to dispose of the appeal, if not already disposed of, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Pending disposal of the appeal, the petitioner shall continue in service if he is already continuing as on date.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

G. BIKSHAPATHY, J

Date: 08.04.2005

_____

P.S. NARAYANA, J

ES

To

1 The Secretary, Government of A.P.,
Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Commissioner for Panchayat Raj and Rural Employment, Government of A.P.,
Urdu Hall Lane, Himayatnagar, Hyderabad.
3 The District Panchayat Officer, Medak at Sangareddy.

4 2 CCs to the GP for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT).

5 2 CD copies